LANCASTER COUNTY NAMED TO NATIONAL TRUST ENDANGERED LIST

Lancaster County, Pennsylvania, is taking center stage in the national debate over sprawl. The National Trust for Historic Preservation, a leader in the crusade against sprawl, has named the county to its 1999 listing of America’s 11 Most Endangered Historic Places, released in mid-June. The county, which is a model for the Commonwealth on the use of urban growth boundaries and a national model in effective farmland preservation efforts, continues to watch its defining character erode to the relentless push of housing and commercial development onto the rural landscape. Meanwhile, its city and towns build momentum to counter these forces and reclaim their historic role as centers of community life.

The image of Lancaster County as a rural oasis, home to the Amish and other Plain Sects, holds a fascination and appreciation that extends far beyond Pennsylvania’s borders. International recognition of the threat of sprawl to this important place came in 1997 when the World Monuments Watch named the county an endangered site. In 1999, Preservation Pennsylvania placed the county on our own listing of endangered historic places following a wave of proposals for big box stores.

Some people fear that calling the county endangered sends the wrong message. On the one hand, the county might be viewed as not doing enough to curb sprawl. On the other hand, some special interest groups balk at the implication that growth is bad for the county.

In fact, Lancaster County has done everything right, within the limits of Pennsylvania law — and yet sprawl continues to consume valuable farmland and threaten the county’s quality of life. There is no simple formula for stopping or even slowing sprawl, and the debate over sprawl and growth management is intensifying as both our leaders and ordinary citizens begin to question the legacy of a century of automobile-oriented life.

In a press conference to announce the National Trust designation of Lancaster as endangered, State Representative David Argall, who is spearheading the pending Downtown Location Law, spoke directly to concerns over growth management. “To my friends on both sides of this issue I would say that the solutions to further sprawl, which many of us fear, and true economic development, which we all want, will not be found in calling each other names and questioning each other’s motives,” said Argall. “Somewhere, in the middle of the two extremes, between building anything you darn well
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Heritage 21 Alliance
Promotes Amendments to
“Growing Greener” Legislation

Governor Ridge has introduced his “Growing Greener” legislation (HB1200 and SB800). This legislation would redirect existing funds to environmental programs including restoration and protection of watersheds, preservation of farmland and open space, and abandoned mine reclamation.

While preservationists and others in the heritage community agree this is a start, we do not feel that the Governor has gone far enough to ensure that all things treasured by Pennsylvanians will be protected into the 21st century.

The Heritage 21 Alliance, which includes Preservation Pennsylvania and more than twenty other environmental groups, land trusts, recreational, planning, community, agricultural, and heritage organizations, is a continuation of the effort that produced the highly successful Key ’93 program. The coalition supports a stable
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LEGISLATIVE REPORT

STATE

Historic Home & Neighborhood Assistance Act (HB 948)

Representative Thomas A. Tangretti (D-Westmoreland) has reintroduced his Historic Home and Neighborhood Assistance Act with some major revisions. Rep. Tangretti’s intention is to pass a 20% state income tax credit for historic home owners. The home owner must agree to use the property as their primary residence for at least five years to be eligible for the tax credit. The bill also proposes an exclusion from sales tax on services or products purchased for the rehabilitation of the historic homesite as well as an exclusion from the transaction tax on the transfer of the historic homesite. HB948 has been referred to the House Finance Committee. Due to a misinterpretation at the time of printing HB948 does not contain some language that Rep. Tangretti intended. HB948 will undergo a technical amendment, after which it is expected to be voted out of the Finance Committee.

Historic Homesite Local Regulation and Tax Assessment Act (HB 949)

Designed as a companion to the Historic Home and Neighborhood Assistance Act (HB 948), the Historic Homesite Local Regulation and Tax Assessment Act (HB 949) completes the state tax incentive package for historic homesites. HB 949 would give local governments additional tools to create incentives for historic home owners. The bill offers a local option to waive permit fees on a zoning variance or exception as well as has the permit fees on construction for rehabilitation work on historic homesites. The bill would also allow the owner of the building to choose a two year period, which must fall within five years of completion of the work, in which no tax reassessment may be performed. HB 949 is currently in the House Local Government Committee.

Downtown Location Law (HB 728)

Reintroduced by Representative David G. Argall (R-Schuylkill) the Downtown Location Law would encourage state agencies to locate their offices in traditional downtown areas. The bill is currently in the House Urban Affairs Committee and has thirty-six cosponsors. Rep. Argall has indicated to Preservation Pennsylvania that he sees no problem moving the bill out of committee given the response he received in the House last session.

Discover Pennsylvania’s Towns (S 240)

Senator Allen G. Kukovich’s (D-Westmoreland) Discover Pennsylvania’s Towns (S 240) was reintroduced this session. S 240 would establish a new program in the Department of Community and Economic Development to support marketing and promotion of Pennsylvania’s downtowns. The bill has been voted out of the Senate Community and Economic Development Committee and re-referred to the Senate Appropriations Committee.

‘Smart Growth’ Package (HB 13, 14, and 15)

The ‘Smart Growth’ Package, intro-
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MISSION

Preservation Pennsylvania, through creative partnerships, targeted educational and advocacy programs, advisory assistance, and special projects, assists Pennsylvania communities to protect and utilize the historic resources they want to preserve for the future.
PRESERVATION PA AT WORK —

PENNSYLVANIA AT RISK:
UPDATE ON THREATENED PROPERTIES

• Brandywine Battlefield (listed 1994)

The federal House of Representatives passed a bill in June that would make up to $4.25 million available to buy land to protect the Brandywine and Paoli Battlefields. Both Revolutionary War sites are in areas of suburban Philadelphia under attack in the twentieth century from rampant suburban sprawl development. The legislation also calls for the Valley Forge Historical Society to construct a Revolutionary War museum in Valley Forge National Historical Park.

• Danville, Montour County, West Market Street Historic District (listed 1992)

The 1998 suit filed by Concerned Citizens, Inc. of Danville and supported by an amicus brief filed by the National Trust for Historic Preservation and Preservation Pennsylvania has been rejected by the Court of Appeals of the Third Circuit. This decision allows construction to proceed on a new bridge across the Susquehanna at Danville that, as sited, will do significant harm to the historic character of a residential neighborhood within the historic district. Residents had sought consideration of an alternative alignment that would have had less impact on the historic district. The National Trust viewed this case as having national implications for the enforcement and validity of the U.S. Department of Transportation’s Section 4(f) review process.

• Enola Low Grade Rail Line, Lancaster County (listed 1996)

The National Register of Historic Places has determined that the entire line, including the land and related structures, is eligible for the National Register. “Past arrangements and decisions generally thought to be final are now back on the table,” said Randolph Harris, executive director of the Historic Preservation Trust of Lancaster County. Until this April, only the bridges along the line had been determined eligible for the Register. The determination should reopen discussions about the future of the line and its transfer by Conrail to new ownership.

• Leap the Dips, Altoona (listed 1995)

The 1902 wooden roller coaster at Lakemont Park has been restored and reopened as an attraction at this western Pennsylvania amusement park. More information is available at www.leapthedips.org.

• Penn Square, Reading (listed 1995)

The historic center of Reading was in the news in June as the Greater Berks Development Fund filed for a demolition permit on four buildings at the corner of Fifth and Penn Streets. The site, which is directly on the historic square, would become a surface parking lot until a new development project can be found.

One of the buildings is reputed to be the oldest remaining structure in the city, dating to 1761. Although proponents of demolition argue that the 18th century building has seen significant alterations, the art modern facade of the Farr shoe store - which now covers the 18th century structure - is in itself a distinctive example of an important early 20th century architectural style. Following a denial of demolition by the Historic Architectural Review Board (HARB), Greater Berks Development Fund appealed to continued on page 5
Effective June 17, 1999, the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation issued new regulations that significantly modify the Section 106 review process of the National Historic Preservation Act, as amended. The Section 106 process is the Federal Government’s regulatory process that helps protect historic properties from harm due to Federal actions such as highways, flood control projects, and other projects using Federal dollars or requiring Federal permits. It requires Federal agencies to take into account the effects of their undertakings on historic properties, and provide the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation a reasonable opportunity to comment. The process is administered in each state by the State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO). In Pennsylvania, this process is handled through the Pennsylvania Historical and Museum Commission in the Bureau for Historic Preservation’s Division of Archaeology and Protection.

The following is based on a summary of the revised process prepared by the Advisory Council. Questions concerning specific projects, either already in progress or in the planning stages, should be addressed to: Bureau for Historic Preservation, PO Box 1026, Harrisburg, PA 17108-1026; phone 717-783-8946.

Summary of the Revised Section 106 Process

(36 CFR Part 800)

- **Step 1 - Initiating the Section 106 Process**

  A Federal agency must determine whether it has an undertaking that could affect historic properties, which are properties that are included in or that meet the criteria for the National Register of Historic Places. If so, it must consult with the State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO) during the process. It should also plan to involve the public, and identify other potential consulting parties. If it determines that it has no undertaking, or that its undertaking has no potential to affect historic properties, the agency has no further Section 106 obligations.

- **Step 2 - Identify historic properties**

  If the agency’s undertaking could affect historic properties, the agency determines the scope of appropriate identification efforts and then proceeds to identify historic properties in the area of potential effects. The agency reviews background information, consults with the SHPO and others, seeks information from knowledgeable parties, and conducts additional studies as necessary. Districts, sites, buildings, structures, and objects listed in the National Register are considered; unlisted properties are evaluated against National Register criteria, in consultation with the SHPO. If questions arise about the eligibility of a given property, the agency may seek a formal determination from the National Park Service.

  If the agency finds that no historic properties are present or affected, it provides documentation to the SHPO, and, barring any objection in 30 days, proceeds with its undertaking.

  If the agency finds that historic properties are present, it proceeds to assess possible adverse effects.

- **Step 3 - Assess Adverse Effects**

  The agency, in consultation with the SHPO makes an assessment of adverse effects on the identified historic properties based on criteria found in the Council’s regulations.

  If they agree that there will be No Adverse Effect, the agency proceeds with the undertaking and any agreed upon conditions.

  If parties cannot agree or they find that there is an Adverse Effect, the agency begins consultation to identify ways to avoid, minimize, or mitigate adverse effects.

- **Step 4 - Resolve Adverse Effects**

  The agency consults with the SHPO and others, who may include local governments, permit or license applicants, and members of the public. The Council may participate in consultation when there are substantial impacts to important historic properties, when a case presents important questions of policy or interpretation, or when there is a potential for procedural problems.

  Consultation usually results in a Memorandum of Agreement (MOA), which outlines agreed upon measures that the agency will take to avoid, minimize, or mitigate the adverse effect. In some cases, the consulting parties may agree that no such measures are possible, but that the adverse effects must be accepted in the public interest.

- **Step 5 - Implementation**

  If an MOA is executed, the agency proceeds with its undertaking under terms of the MOA.

Failure to Resolve Adverse Effects

If the consultation proves unproductive, the agency or the SHPO, or the Council itself, may terminate consultation. If an SHPO terminates consultation, the agency and the Council may conclude an MOA without SHPO involvement.

Public Involvement

Public Involvement is a key ingredient in successful Section 106 consultation, and the views of the public should be solicited and considered throughout the process.

NOTE: A recent issue of CRM (Cultural Resource Management) magazine, published by the National Park Service, is devoted to the subject of Section 106 compliance. In the Public Interest: Creative Approaches to Section 106 Compliance (volume 22, number 3, 1999) contains an article, A Framework for Creative Mitigation, by Brenda Barrett, director of the Bureau for Historic Preservation at the Pennsylvania Historical and Museum Commission, and deputy SHPO for Pennsylvania. Available on the web at www.cr.nps.gov/crm
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please anywhere you want - despite its impact on the community, and the ‘BA-
NANA’ approach of ‘Building Absolutely Nothing Anywhere, Near Anyone’, are
some real solutions to these problems. The National Trust’s designation is an oppor-
tunity to bring attention to and debate the problems and issues that are facing many
counties in Pennsylvania, not just Lancaster County.”

Preservation Pennsylvania is working closely with the Historic Preservation
Trust of Lancaster County, 10,000 Friends of Pennsylvania, and the National Trust
for Historic Preservation on the issue of sprawl, its impact on Lancaster County and on the
rest of the Commonwealth. Revisions to the Municipalities Planning Code are viewed as a major
step toward assisting our communities to challenge sprawl, as are other pending legis-
lative initiatives including the Downtown Location Law and the Historic Homestea-
d Local Regulation and Tax Assessment Act (see Legislative Update, page 2).

Caren Glotfelter, Vice-Chair of 10,000 Friends of Pennsylvania and Goddard Pro-
fessor of Forestry and Environmental Resources at Penn State University, voiced
the paramount need for changes in legis-
lation. “Pennsylvania’s land use laws, a combination of the MunicipalitiesPlanning
Code (MPC) and court decisions, are a recipe for sprawl,” said Glotfelter at the
June 14 press conference. “Lancaster County, like all Pennsylvania counties and
municipalities, is hampered by the lack of clear authority, tools, and incentives for
effective land use planning and implementa-
tion - which only the state legis-
lature can provide. We hope that the designation of Lancaster County as a na-
tionally endangered place will highlight for Governor Ridge and the legisla-
ture the importance of changing Pennsylvania’s rules to give counties and
municipalities the ability to accommodate growth without obliterating the heritage,
resources and character of their commu-
nities.”

The debate over sprawl is clearly grow-
ing and will be a focus in the upcoming presidential election as well as in countless state and local forums. For more in-
formation on the subject, the National Trust has just published Challenging
President Richard Moe is coauthor, with Carter Wilkie, of Changing Places: Re-

Also included in the National Trust’s 1999 endangered list are: “The Corner of Main and Main” for the nationwide inva-
sion of national drugstore chains threaten-
ing to demolish countless historic buildings in traditional downtowns; Rich-
ard H. Allen Memorial Auditorium, Sitka, Alaska; Angel Island Immigration Station, San Francisco, California; County Estates of River road, Louisville, Kentucky; Four
national Historic Landmark Hospitals, New York State; Hulett Ore Unloaders, Cleveland, Ohio; Pullman Administration
building and Factory Complex, Chicago, Illinois; Travelers’ Rest, Lolo, Montana; San Diego Arts & Warehouse District, San
Diego, California; West Side of Downtown Baltimore, Maryland.


Many of the sites listed by the National Trust, since America’s 11 Most Endan-
gered Historic Places was first released in 1988, have been included because of threats from sprawl - most notably, the en-
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city council, which tabled the request at
their June 15 meeting.
- Star Barn, Dauphin County (listed 1992)

The 30-acre site that includes the Star
Barn was sold in June to the East Shore
Community Church of Harrisburg, Pres-
ervation Pennsylvania still holds an op-
tion on the barn, its historic outbuildings and three acres. The option expires in early December, and Preservation Penn-
sylvania hopes by that time to have found
a buyer who will preserve and reuse this
Gothic Revival agricultural landmark of
central Pennsylvania.
- Victoria Theatre, Shamokin (1999)

Rite Aid Corporation began demolition of the former JC Penney store, adjacent to the Victoria Theatre, in mid-June. Demo-
lition of the theater, which was listed in the Nation Register of Historic Places
in 1985, is expected to take place in July. The site is being cleared to make way for
a parking lot for an existing downtown
Rite Aid store. Rite Aid recently stated publicly that they will not, in future, de-

molar National Register-listed buildings, Preservation Pennsylvania was informed, however, that this corporate decision came after plans for the Victoria had been final-
ized.

In the meantime, the National Trust for
Historic Preservation placed the nation’s
downtowns, “The Corner of Main and
Main”, on their 1999 list of endangered historic places because of threats from na-
tional drugstore chains.

Heritage 21...continued from page 1
base of financial support for the
Commonwealth’s natural, historic, and
cultural resources.

“Growing Greener Plus” is the name of an amendment to SB800 and HB1200
which would involve the issuance of a
$400 million general revenue bond over five years, and the application of ten percent
of the state’s share from the realty trans-
fer tax. The proposal adds funding beyond Growing Greener in the areas of
agricultural preservation, wildlife manage-
ment, historic property and museum pres-
servation, heritage tourism, library capital
improvements, rehabilitation of fish and
wildlife recreation facilities, and commu-
nity planning and redevelopment.

The need for investment capital in these
areas was strongly endorsed by the
Governor’s Commission on the 21st Cen-
tury Environment. The report’s conclu-
sion affirmed that a healthy environment, a
dynamic economy and the well being of
our communities are directly linked.

We encourage you to talk with your
selected representatives in the coming
weeks and express your support for Grow-
ing Greener Plus.
PLACES

• The Dalton House, one of only two buildings in Lackawanna County outside of Scranton to be listed in the National Register of Historic Places, is looking for a buyer to save it from demolition. The Federal-style wood frame building, constructed in 1855, is the centerpiece of Dalton, a small village in northeastern Pennsylvania. A 3-story 34'x60' building with a stone foundation, it has two 8'x34' one-story additions on each side and a 23'x34' two-story original structure (c.1819) at the rear. Over the years the building has functioned as a residence, an inn and restaurant, and its interior has undergone cosmetic renovations. Some original architectural features remain including an impressive open two-story stairway in the foyer which connects the first floor dining areas to guest rooms above. Dalton is located in the Endless Mountains Region and is near abundant year-round recreational, cultural and educational facilities. Asking price $199,000. Contact: Save the Dalton House Committee, 570-563-1429 or lchickillo@ibm.net

• Allegheny Ridge State Heritage Park has been expanded to incorporate a portion of Huntington County that includes the historic East Broad Top Railroad. The railroad was constructed in 1872 to connect the coal fields of Broad Top Mountain with the Pennsylvania Railroad. Designated a National Historic Landmark in 1964 and placed on Preservation Pennsylvania’s listing of endangered properties in 1992, it operates today as an excursion railroad. As part of the state heritage park, East Broad Top will now be eligible for heritage park funding for projects.

• Bethlehem’s South Side, which recently witnessed the demolition of a row of historic buildings for construction of a new CVS drugstore just a block away, sees a ray of hope in plans to renovate the 1902 Flatiron building. The renovations to the distinctive five-story structure will include repair of the signature clock above the main entrance to the building.

• Historic Harrisburg Association presented its 1999 awards, recognizing the work of three community groups including Preservation Pennsylvania, Capital Area Neighbors, and Harrisburg Young Professionals.

PEOPLE

• Patricia Wilson Aden became president of the Preservation Alliance for Greater Philadelphia on June 1. David DeLong, former chair of the graduate program in historic preservation at the University of Pennsylvania is the new chair of the organization’s board of directors.

• Judith Decker, a recent graduate of the Historic Preservation Program at Bucks County Community College, has worked in the technical end of historic wallpaper reproduction for twenty-five years. She is looking for opportunities to reproduce historic wallpapers for house museums or other historic sites in Pennsylvania. Contact her at 30 Valley View Rd., Chalfont 18914; jodecker@worldnet.att.net

• Pennsylvania Treasure Hunt is an initiative of the Pennsylvania Humanities Council, the Center for Travel, Tourism and Film Promotion and the Carnegie Mellon Center for Arts Management and Technology. The program’s goal is to create a statewide database of Pennsylvania events, cultural and historical sites, scenic locations, attractions and destinations. The public is encouraged to submit “treasures” through a website (www.artsnet.org/treasures/form.html) or contact: Treasure Hunt - CAMT, Heinz School, 5000 Forbes Ave., Pittsburgh, PA 15213.

PROGRAMS

Save Outdoor Sculpture (SOS!) announces two 1999 funding programs. Assessment Awards (applications accepted until December 31, 1999, but grants made on a first come, first served basis) provide grants of up to $850 for a professional assessment of the condition of the artwork. Once a sculpture has been assessed, Conservation Treatment Awards (application deadline for Pennsylvania is July 30, 1999) can provide up to 50% of funding for preserving the work; conservation grants will range from $1,000 to $40,000. For more information and application materials contact SOS! at 888-sos-scul or 202-634-1422.

PUBLICATIONS

• Teaching with Historic Places uses properties listed in the National Register of Historic Places to enliven history, social studies, geography, civics, and other subjects. The program offers a variety of products and activities, including a series of classroom-ready lesson plans now available for free on the Web at www.cr.nps.gov/nr/twhp or contact the National Register of Historic Places, U.S. Dept of Interior, 1849 C Street, NW, Suite NC400, Washington, DC 20240; 202-343-9536.
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Produced by Representative David Steil (R-Bucks) consists of three bills (HB 13, 14, and 15) that would amend the Municipalities Planning Code. HB13 promotes consistency in planning between a county and its municipalities as well as the option to decide where growth would be encouraged and discouraged to protect open space and historic districts. HB14 would give municipalities the authority to create intermunicipal comprehensive plans and growth boundaries. HB15 would allow the option for common base zoning, which allows builders to purchase land rights from areas that would not be developed to be used in areas designated for development. All three bills currently reside in the House Committee on Local Government. Preservation Pennsylvania will continue to monitor Rep. Steil’s bills in the fall session.

Free Exercise of Religion Act
HB1689

Just before the summer recess, Lida Indzel Cohen (R-Montgomery) introduced the Free Exercise of Religion Act (HB1689). The bill states that “Government shall not substantially burden a person’s exercise of religion”. It goes on to say that this statement shall be applicable to state or local law and ordinances. Preservation Pennsylvania is wary of this bill because land use policies and historic districts rely on local law and ordinances.

Preservation Pennsylvania will use the summer recess to fully research the implications of this bill on historic properties and districts. We will also be gathering data on how other states have successfully thwarted this type of legislation.

FEDERAL
Historic Homeownership Assistance Act of 1999
H.R. 1172/S. 664

The Historic Homeownership Assistance Act of 1999 (HHAA) would create a 20% federal income tax credit toward the rehabilitation of housing stock in deteriorating neighborhoods and communities where the goals of historic preservation, community revitalization, and home ownership can be pursued concurrently. HHAA is at a critical point in the legislative process as the Senate Finance and the House Ways and Means Committees begin preparations for marking up the tax cut bill. Preservation Pennsylvania has heard from Preservation Action that HHAA is still garnering a lot of support, but speculation on tax bills is always tricky.

Religious Liberty Protection Act
HR 1691

HR 1691 would create a broad exemption for religious institutions from land use regulations, including local landmark, open space, and zoning laws. The bill was approved by the House Judiciary Committee on June 23 and is scheduled for House floor action on July 13-14. According to the National Trust, the bill has credible support and has a chance of passing the House. No companion legislation has been introduced in the Senate, but the Senate Judiciary Committee has held a hearing on the act and plans to hold another soon. Preservation Pennsylvania has joined the National Trust in sending a letter to the Senate committee in opposition to this legislation.

October 19-24, 1999
Washington, DC

Saving America’s Treasures

- Celebrate the 50th Anniversary of the National Trust and the U.S. General Services Administration, a Principal Sponsor of this year’s conference.
- Choose from more than 50 educational sessions focusing on successful strategies and models to preserve America’s diverse historic places and revitalize communities. Sessions will cover a wide range of topics including Sprawl, Heritage Tourism, Cultural Diversity and Preservation, Commercial District Revitalization, Rural Preservation, Public Policy and Legal Issues, and Federal Stewardship of Historic Sites.
- Select from more than 40 field sessions that will explore the preservation issues and successes of urban neighborhoods, historic sites and National Trust properties in Washington and surrounding areas.

REGISTER EARLY FOR DISCOUNTS AND SAVE!
For Registration Information, Call the National Trust for Historic Preservation (800) 944-6847
CALENDAR

1999 summer

Pittsburgh Downtown Walking Tours. Wednesdays June 2-September 29, noon-12:50 p.m. Grant Street Tour (June & August); Wood Street Walk (July & September). Contact: Pittsburgh History & Landmarks Foundation, Mary Lou Denny, 412-471-5808.


August 7-8 236th Anniversary of the Battle of Busby Run, Harrison City. Reenactments, crafts demonstrations, and special programs. Contact: 724-527-5584.

August 10 Fairmount Park’s Historic Bridges Tour, 9:30-7:30 p.m. Contact: Friends of Philadelphia Parks, 215-879-8159.

September 26 Historic Preservation Trust of Lancaster County Architectural History Tour of the Pequea Valley. Contact: HPTLC, 717-291-5861.

Sept 29-Oct 2 American Association for State and Local History Annual Conference, Baltimore MD. Contact: AASLH, 615-320-3203; history@aaslh.org

October 2-5 International Downtown Association 45th Annual Conference, Philadelphia. Contact: IDA 202-293-4505; to register online www.ida-downtown.org


October 20-23 Association for Preservation Technology Conference, Banff, Alberta, Canada. Contact: Larry Pearson, Alberta Community Development, phone 403-431-2307, email: lpearson@amc.gov.ab.ca

October 20-23 Sixth Annual International Historic Bridge Conference, Wheeling, WV. Contact: Emory L. Kemp, Institute for History of Technology and Industrial Archaeology, 1535 Mileground, Morgantown, WV 26505; 304-293-7169; email LKemp@wvu.edu

November 7-9 1999 Restoration & Renovation, Charleston, SC. Conference and exhibits of renovation/restoration projects and services. Contact: EGI Exhibitions, 129 Park Street, Reading, MA 01864; 978-664-6455 or 800-982-6247; email show egixhib.com; www.egixhib.com


2000

February 27-29 2000 Restoration & Renovation, Boston, MA. Conference and exhibits of renovation/restoration products and services. Contact: see above - November 7-9, 1999.

April 5-8 Pennsylvania Federation of Museums and Historical Organizations annual conference, State College. Contact: PFMHO, 717-787-3253.


May 19-21 3rd National Conference on Women and Historic Preservation, Mount Vernon College, Washington, DC.

MARK YOUR CALENDAR

Historic Preservation & Heritage Partnerships Conference
April 12-14, 2000 Reading
Contact: Preservation Pennsylvania, 717-234-2310; PPA@preservationpa.org; www.preservationpa.org